

Annex 3: Minimum AAP Indicators for FSAC Response

The following indicators provide a minimum framework for monitoring the quality and effectiveness of AAP implementation across FSAC programmes. Partners may supplement these indicators with organization-specific accountability measures.

HPC Stage	Minimum Indicator	Means of Verification
Preparedness and Anticipatory Action	% of preparedness activities involving local actors (CBOs, OPDs, women-led organizations, local NGOs)	Meeting records; partnership records
	% of community members consulted reporting that their priorities and concerns were considered during preparedness planning	Community consultation records; perception surveys
Needs Assessment and Analysis	% of assessments collecting sex, age, disability and displacement-disaggregated data	Assessment reports
	% of assessments with participants reporting that they were able to express their views and priorities during assessments	Assessment feedback forms; perception surveys
Strategic Planning	% of projects where project design and targeting criteria are demonstrably informed by documented community input	Consultation records; Project proposals; Project design documents
	% of community members who can correctly identify targeting criteria, assistance entitlements, and available complaint channels	Post-distribution monitoring (PDM) reports; community surveys
Resource Mobilization	% of funded projects with dedicated resources allocated for community engagement, information sharing, and feedback mechanism	Projects proposals; project budgets
	% of funded projects with dedicated resources allocated for inclusion measures (women, PWDs, elderly persons, marginalized groups).	Project proposals; budget breakdowns; inclusion plans
Implementation and Monitoring	% of project sites with at least two functioning and accessible feedback and complaints channels, including confidential options for women and SEA-related complaints	CFM records; field monitoring reports
	% of beneficiaries who can correctly identify targeting criteria, beneficiary entitlements and at least one complaints channel	PDM reports; community orientation records
Evaluation and Learning	% of evaluations or reviews in which affected people directly contributed to findings, recommendations, or lessons learned	Evaluation reports; review report
	Number of programme improvements or adaptations implemented as a result of community feedback, monitoring findings, or evaluation recommendations	Programme review reports, Project change log; meeting minutes
Coordination	% of FSAC cluster meetings (national and subnational) that include AAP as a standing agenda item with partner reporting	Cluster meeting agendas; meeting minutes
	% of FSAC partners reporting on AAP activities in coordination updates (CFM trends, community consultations, adaptations made)	Cluster reporting tracker